REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Claims 2-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as set forth in the outstanding Office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as set forth in the outstanding Office Action.

Drawings

It is noted that the Examiner has accepted the drawings as originally filed with this application.

New Claims

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-6 and has added new claims 7-12 to this application. It is believed that new claims 7-12 are commensurate in scope with original claims 1-6. It is believed that the new claims specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Since claims 1-6 have been indicated as being allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112, no detailed discussion of the cited prior art references is believed to be necessary.

Application No. 10/706,958

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>June 22, 2005</u>

By:

Bruce H. Troxell Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404 Falls Church, Virginia 22041 Telephone: 703 575-2711

Telefax:

703 575-2707